

Aging Wiener-Khinchin Theorem

N. Leibovich and E. Barkai

Department of Physics, Institute of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials, Bar Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel

(Received 6 May 2015; published 18 August 2015)

The Wiener-Khinchin theorem shows how the power spectrum of a stationary random signal $I(t)$ is related to its correlation function $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle$. We consider nonstationary processes with the widely observed aging correlation function $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu \phi_{\text{EA}}(\tau/t)$ and relate it to the sample spectrum. We formulate two aging Wiener-Khinchin theorems relating the power spectrum to the time- and ensemble-averaged correlation functions, discussing briefly the advantages of each. When the scaling function $\phi_{\text{EA}}(x)$ exhibits a nonanalytical behavior in the vicinity of its small argument we obtain the aging $1/f$ -type of spectrum. We demonstrate our results with three examples: blinking quantum dots, single-file diffusion, and Brownian motion in a logarithmic potential, showing that our approach is valid for a wide range of physical mechanisms.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.080602

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 05.45.Tp

Understanding how the strength of a signal is distributed in the frequency domain is central both in practical engineering problems and in physics. In many applications, a random process $I(t)$ recorded in a time interval $(0, t_m)$ is analyzed with the sample spectrum $S_{t_m}(\omega) = |\int_0^{t_m} I(t) \exp(-i\omega t) dt|^2 / t_m$, which is investigated in the limit of a long measurement time t_m . For stationary processes, the fundamental Wiener-Khinchin theorem [1] relates between the power spectrum density and the correlation function $C(\tau) = \langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle$

$$\lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = 2 \int_0^\infty C(\tau) \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau. \quad (1)$$

However, in recent years there is growing interest in the spectral properties of nonstationary processes, where the theorem is not valid [2–10]. In general, there seems no point to discuss and classify spectral properties of all possible nonstationary processes. Luckily, a wide class of physical systems and models exhibit a special type of correlation functions $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu \phi_{\text{EA}}(\tau/t)$ for an observable $I(t)$ and the subscript EA denotes an ensemble average. Such correlation functions, describing what is referred to as physical aging, appear in a vast array of systems and models ranging from glassy dynamics [2,11–13], blinking quantum dots [14], laser cooled atoms [15], motion of a tracer particle in a crowded environment [16,17], elastic models of fluctuating interfaces [18], deterministic noisy Kuramoto models [19], granular gases [20], and deterministic intermittency [21], to name only a few examples. In some cases, the scaling function exhibits a second scaling exponent, $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu \phi_{\text{EA}}(\tau/t^\beta)$, or even a logarithmic time dependence [11]; however, here we will avoid this zoo of exponents, and attain classification of the spectrum for the case $\beta = 1$.

A natural problem is to relate between the sample spectrum of such processes and the underlying correlation

function [3]. That such a relation actually exists is obvious from the basic definition of the sample spectrum; see Eq. (2) below. However, here we find a few interesting insights. First, the correlation function in its scaling form $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu \phi_{\text{EA}}(\tau/t)$ is valid in physical situations, in the limit of large t and τ . We here first formulate a theorem for ideal processes, where the aging correlation function is valid for all τ and t , and then at the second part of the Letter, explore by comparison to realistic models the domain of validity of the ideal models. As a rule of thumb the aging Wiener-Khinchin theorem presented here for ideal models works well in the limit of low frequency. Further, the limit of small frequency and measurement time t_m being large is not interchangeable and should be taken with care. Second, the spectrum in these processes depends on time t_m , as already observed in [3,22]. The nonstationarity also implies a third theme, namely, that the ensemble-averaged correlation function is nonidentical to the time-averaged correlation function, in contrast with the usual Wiener-Khinchin scenario. Thus, we formulate two theorems, relating between time- and ensemble-averaged correlation functions and the sample spectrum. The choice of theorem to be used in practice depends on the application.

In physics, the power spectrum is not only a measure of the strength of frequency modes in a system. Nyquist's fluctuation dissipation theorem, for systems that are close to thermal equilibrium and hence stationary, states that the ratio between the power spectrum and the imaginary part of the response function, $\chi(\omega)$, is given by temperature, i.e., $k_B T = \pi\omega S(\omega) / 2\text{Im}[\chi(\omega)]$ [23]. Similarly, effective temperatures are routinely defined by relating measurements of power spectrum and response functions of nonstationary processes [24–26]. Our goal here is to provide the connection between the sample spectrum and the correlation functions, without which the meaning of the effective temperature becomes somewhat ambiguous. More practically, an experimentalist

who uses the sample spectrum to estimate the spectrum of a nonstationary process might wish to extract from it the time- and/or the ensemble-averaged correlation functions, and for that our work is valuable.

Aging Wiener-Khinchin theorem for time-averaged correlation functions.—For a general process, the autocorrelation function $\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle$ is a function of its two variables, unlike stationary processes, where the correlation function depends only on the time difference τ . Using the definition of the sample spectrum we have

$$t_m \langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = \int_0^{t_m} dt_1 \int_0^{t_m} dt_2 e^{i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \langle I(t_1)I(t_2) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

We identify in this equation the ensemble-averaged correlation function, but a formalism based on a time average will turn out more connected to the original Wiener-Khinchin theorem, as we proceed to show. A change of variable $\tau = t_2 - t_1$ and relabeling integration variables gives

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{2}{t_m} \int_0^{t_m} d\tau (t_m - \tau) \langle C_{TA}(t_m, \tau) \rangle \cos(\omega\tau). \quad (3)$$

Here, the time-averaged correlation function is defined as

$$C_{TA}(t_m, \tau) = \frac{1}{t_m - \tau} \int_0^{t_m - \tau} dt_1 I(t_1)I(t_1 + \tau). \quad (4)$$

We now insert in Eq. (3) an aging correlation function

$$\langle C_{TA}(t_m, \tau) \rangle = (t_m)^\gamma \varphi_{TA}(\tau/t_m), \quad (5)$$

and defining a new integration variable $0 < \tilde{\tau} = \tau/t_m < 1$ we find

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = 2(t_m)^{1+\gamma} \int_0^1 d\tilde{\tau} (1 - \tilde{\tau}) \varphi_{TA}(\tilde{\tau}) \cos(\omega t_m \tilde{\tau}). \quad (6)$$

This formula relates between the time-averaged correlation function and the average of the sample spectrum, for ideal processes in the sense that we have assumed that the scaling of the correlation function holds for all times. It shows that the frequency ω times t_m is the scaling variable of the power spectrum.

Aging Wiener-Khinchin formula for the ensemble-averaged correlation function.—We now relate the power spectrum with the ensemble-averaged correlation function which has a scaling form

$$\langle I(t+\tau)I(t) \rangle = t^\nu \phi_{EA}(\tau/t). \quad (7)$$

The two correlation functions are related with Eq. (4), which upon averaging gives

$$\varphi_{TA}(x) = x^\gamma y(x) \int_{y(x)}^\infty \frac{\phi_{EA}(z)}{z^{2+\gamma}} dz \quad (8)$$

with $y(x) = x/(1-x)$. Considering the case $\gamma = 0$ we insert Eq. (8) in Eq. (6) and find

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = 2t_m \int_0^1 \phi_{EA}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \times \frac{\tilde{\omega}x \sin(\tilde{\omega}x) + \cos(\tilde{\omega}x) - 1}{(\tilde{\omega}x)^2} dx \quad (9)$$

with $\tilde{\omega} = \omega t_m$. For the more general case $\gamma \neq 0$ we show in the Supplemental Material [27] that

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle = \frac{2(t_m)^{\gamma+1}}{2+\gamma} \int_0^1 (1-x)^\gamma \phi_{EA}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \times {}_1F_2\left[1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, 2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}; -\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}x}{2}\right)^2\right] dx, \quad (10)$$

where ${}_1F_2$ is a hypergeometric function and $\gamma > -2$.

This relation between the ensemble-averaged correlation function and the sample averaged spectrum is useful for theoretical investigations, when a microscopical theory provides the ensemble average. Alternatively, one may use the relation equation (8) to compute the time-averaged correlation function from the ensemble average (if the latter is known) and then use the time-averaged formalism equation (6) which is based on a simple cosine transform. The transformation equation (10) depends on γ , which in experimental situations might be unknown (though it could be estimated from data), while Eq. (6) does not; still, both formalisms are clearly identical and useful.

Relation with 1/f noise.—We now consider a class of aging correlation functions, with the additional characteristic behavior for small variable τ/t ,

$$\langle I(t)I(t+\tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu \left[A_{EA} - B_{EA} \left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right)^\nu \right]. \quad (11)$$

Here $A_{EA}, B_{EA} > 0, 0 < \nu < 1, \gamma > -1$, and $\gamma - \nu > -1$. Physical examples will soon follow. We use Eqs. (4), (5), (7), and (8) and by a comparison of coefficients of the small argument expansion, we show that the time-averaged correlation function has a similar expansion, with $\langle C_{TA}(t, \tau) \rangle \sim t^\nu [A_{TA} - B_{TA}(\tau/t)^\nu + \dots]$ with $A_{TA} = A_{EA}/(1+\gamma)$ and $B_{TA} = B_{EA}/(1+\gamma-\nu)$. We can then insert this expansion in Eq. (6), by integration by parts, and using $\omega t_m = 2\pi n \gg 1$ where n is an integer

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle \sim \frac{2\Gamma(1+\nu) \sin(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}) B_{EA}}{(\gamma-\nu+1)(t_m)^{\nu-\gamma} \omega^{1+\nu}}. \quad (12)$$

We see that the nonanalytical expansion of the correlation function, in the small argument, leads to a 1/f-type of noise, with an amplitude that depends on measurement time. Such an aging effect in the power spectrum was recently measured for blinking quantum dots [22], so this shall be our first example.

Blinking quantum dots and trap model.—As measurements show blinking quantum dots, nano wires and organic molecules exhibit episodes of fluorescence intermittency, switching randomly between on and off states [28–30]. The on and off waiting times are random with a common power

law waiting time distribution $\psi(\tau) \sim A\tau^{-(1+\alpha)}$, a behavior valid under certain conditions, like low temperature and a weak external laser field. For this simple renewal model, and when the average on and off times diverge, namely, $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have $\gamma = 0$ and the correlation function, with intensity in the on state taken to be I_0 and in the off state to be zero, is [14]

$$\phi_{\text{EA}}(x) = I_0^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sin(\pi\alpha)}{\pi} B\left(\frac{x}{1+x}; 1-\alpha, \alpha\right) \right], \quad (13)$$

where $x = \tau/t$ and $B(z; a, b)$ is the incomplete Beta function. Importantly, this type of correlation function describes not only blinking dots, but also the trap model, a well-known model of glassy dynamics [13]. The connection between the two systems are the power law waiting times in microstates of the system, though for the trap model $\alpha = T/T_g$ where T is temperature, while $0.5 < \alpha < 0.8$ in quantum dots experiments. Equation (13) is valid only in a scaling limit for large τ and t when the microscopic details of the model, e.g., the shape of the waiting time distribution $\psi(\tau)$ for short on and off blinking events, are irrelevant. Thus, the scaling solution is controlled only by the parameter α . The time-averaged correlation function is obtained from Eqs. (8) and (13)

$$\varphi_{\text{TA}}(x) = \frac{I_0^2}{4} + \frac{I_0^2 \sin(\pi\alpha)}{4\pi} \left[\frac{B(1-x; \alpha, 1-\alpha)}{1-x} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{1-\alpha} \right], \quad (14)$$

which is clearly nonidentical to the corresponding ensemble average. We may now use either Eq. (6) for the time average or Eq. (9) for the ensemble average to obtain $\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle$. Since $\gamma = 0$ we use Eq. (9) and find

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle / t_m = I_0^2 \left\{ \frac{\text{sinc}^2(\frac{\tilde{\omega}}{2})}{4} + \frac{1}{2\tilde{\omega}} \Im[M(1-\alpha, 2; i\tilde{\omega})] \right\}, \quad (15)$$

where $M(a, b; z)$ is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function and $\Im[\cdot]$ refers to its imaginary part. We note that the $\text{sinc}^2(\tilde{\omega}/2)$ term is the spectrum contribution from a constant $\langle \tilde{I}^2 \rangle$. As shown in Fig. 1, the spectrum equation (15) perfectly matches finite time simulation of the process where we used $\psi(\tau) = \alpha\tau^{-(1+\alpha)}$ for $\tau > 1$, $\alpha = 0.5$, $I_0 = 1$, and an average over 10^3 on-off blinking processes was made. This indicates that the scaling approach works well, even for reasonable finite measurement time. The theory predicts nicely not only the generic $1/f$ behavior but also the fine oscillations and the crossover to the low frequency limit. As Fig. 1 demonstrates, when ωt_m is large, we get the $1/f$ noise result, which according to Eq. (12) is

$$\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle_{\omega t_m \gg 1} \approx \frac{I_0^2 \cos(\alpha\pi/2)}{2\Gamma(1+\alpha)} (t_m)^{\alpha-1} \omega^{\alpha-2}, \quad (16)$$




FIG. 1 (color online). Power spectral density for the blinking quantum dot model with $\alpha = 0.5$ with measurement time $t_m = 10^5$. Theory equation (15) (red line) perfectly matches finite time simulation (blue open circles) and asymptotically the $1/f$ noise equation (16) (green line).

for $0 < \alpha < 1$. In this model $\nu = 1 - \alpha$ as the small argument expansion of Eq. (13) shows. The asymptotic equation (16) agrees with previous approaches [3], the latter missing the low frequency part of the spectrum, and the fine structure of the spectrum presented in Fig. 1, since for those nontrivial aspects of the theory one needs the aging Wiener-Khinchin approach developed here. Finally, we have assumed that the start of the blinking process is at $t = 0$ (corresponding to the switching on of the laser field), which is the moment in time where we start recording the power spectrum. If one waits a time t_w before the start of the measurement, the power spectrum will depend on the waiting time t_w since the process is nonstationary [2].

We note that a model with cutoffs on the aging behaviors was investigated in [31]; in this case, the asymptotic behavior is normal, namely, the Wiener-Khinchin theorem holds. Indeed, in experiments on blinking quantum dots with a measurement time of 1800 seconds, the aging of the spectrum is still clearly visible [22]; the latter measurement time is long in the sense that blinking events are observed already on the μs time scale. Hence, cutoffs, while possibly important in some applications, are not relevant at least in this experiment.

Single-file diffusion.—We consider a tagged Brownian particle in an infinite unidimensional system, interacting with other identical particles through hard core collisions [32–34]. This well-known model of a particle in a crowded pore is defined through the free diffusion coefficient D describing the motion of particles between collision events and the averaged distance between particles a . Initially at time $t = 0$ the particles are uniformly distributed in space and the tagged particle is on the origin. In this many body problem, the motion of the tracer is subdiffusive $\langle x^2(t) \rangle \sim a\sqrt{D/\pi}\sqrt{t}$ since the other particles are slowing down the tracer particles via collisions [32]. Normal diffusion is found only at very short times $t < a^2/D$ when the tracer particle has not yet collided with the other surrounding Brownian particles. Our observable [so far called $I(t)$]

is the position of the tracer particle in space $x(t)$. The correlation function in the long time scaling limit is [16,17]

$$\langle x(t)x(t+\tau) \rangle = a\sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi}}\sqrt{\tau}\left(\sqrt{1+\frac{\tau}{t}}+1-\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{t}}\right). \quad (17)$$

Such a correlation function describes also the coordinate of the Rouse chain model, a simple though popular model of Polymer dynamics [35]. Then by insertion and integration we find, using Eqs. (6) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} (t_m)^{-3/2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{Da^2}}\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle &= \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}^{5/2}}\sqrt{\tilde{\omega}}[2+\cos(\tilde{\omega})] \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2\tilde{\omega}^{5/2}}[1+2\cos(\tilde{\omega})]\mathcal{C}(\sqrt{2\tilde{\omega}/\pi}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\tilde{\omega}^{5/2}}\mathcal{S}(\sqrt{2\tilde{\omega}/\pi})[-\tilde{\omega}+\sin(\tilde{\omega})], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the Fresnel functions are defined as $\mathcal{C}(u) \equiv \int_0^u \cos(\pi t^2/2)dt$ and $\mathcal{S}(u) \equiv \int_0^u \sin(\pi t^2/2)dt$. Generating 10^3 trajectories of single-file motion for a system with 2001 particles, with the algorithm in [17], we have found the sample spectrum of the process $x(t)$. As Fig. 2 demonstrates, theory and simulation for $\langle S_{t_m}(\omega) \rangle$ perfectly match without fitting. From the correlation function equation (17) we have $\gamma = \nu = 1/2$ and hence, according to Eq. (12),

$$\langle S(\omega) \rangle \sim \sqrt{\frac{a^2 D}{2}}\omega^{-3/2} \quad (19)$$

for $\omega t_m \gg 1$. This equation is the solid line presented in Fig. 2 which is seen to match the exact theory already for not too large values of ωt_m . As in the previous example, the aging Wiener-Khinchin framework is useful in the predictions of the deviations from the asymptotic result, as




FIG. 2 (color online). The power spectrum of tagged particle motion $x(t)$ with measurement times $t_m = 10^3$ (blue closed circles) and $t_m = 10^2$ (pink multicrosses). For this, the single-file model theory equation (18) (red line) and asymptotic $1/f$ approximation equation (19) nicely match simulation results. In the simulation we used $D = 1/2$ and $a = 1$. Finite time deviations for $t_m = 10^2$ are observed at high frequency as discussed in text.

Fig. 2 clearly demonstrates some nontrivial wiggleness perfectly matching simulations.

As mentioned in the Introduction, we have assumed a scaling form of the correlation function equations, (5) and (7), which works in the limit of $t, \tau \rightarrow \infty$. Information on the correlation function for short times is needed to estimate the very high frequency limit of the spectrum. Hence, the deviations at high frequencies in Fig. 2 are expected. As measurement time is increased, the spectrum plotted as a function of ωt_m perfectly approaches the predictions of our theory (see also the following example and Fig. 3).

Diffusion in a logarithmic potential.—While our previous examples are based on long tailed trapping times and many body interactions, which lead to a long term memory in the dynamics, we will now briefly discuss a third mechanism using overdamped Langevin dynamics in a system which attains thermal equilibrium. Consider the position $x(t)$, which is the observable $I(t)$, of a particle with mass m in a logarithmic potential $U(x) = U_0 \ln(1 + x^2)/2$,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -\frac{1}{m\bar{\gamma}}\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \eta(t). \quad (20)$$

Here the noise is white with mean equal zero satisfying the fluctuation dissipation theorem and $\bar{\gamma}$ is a friction constant. Under such conditions the equilibrium probability density function is given by Boltzmann's law $P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \exp[-U(x)/k_B T]/Z$ where Z is the partition function and T is the temperature. A key observation is that the potential is asymptotically weak in such a way that $P_{\text{eq}} \sim x^{-U_0/k_B T}$ for large x and for normalization to be finite we assume $U_0/k_B T > 1$. The system thus exhibits large fluctuations in its amplitude in the sense that in equilibrium $\langle x^2 \rangle$ diverges in the regime $U_0/k_B T < 3$. Of course, for any finite measurement time the variance of $x(t)$, starting on the origin, is




FIG. 3 (color online). Power spectrum of Brownian motion in a logarithmic potential (symbols) matches the asymptotic theory predicting the $1/f$ -type of noise equation (21) (green line). We use $\alpha = 1.75$, $t_m = 5 \times 10^2$ (red triangles), and $t_m = 2 \times 10^3$ (blue open circles) with $U_0 = 1$, $\bar{\gamma} = 1$, and $m = 1$. In addition, we plot the theoretical zero frequency prediction $\langle S_{t_m}(0) \rangle$ (black line); see the Supplemental Material [27]. The ensemble average was taken over 5000 realizations.

increasing with time but finite. Let $\alpha = U_0/(2k_B T) + (1/2)$ and we focus on the case $1 < \alpha < 2$. The correlation function in this case was investigated in [36]. We here study only the $1/f$ part of the spectrum, demonstrating the versatility of the theory using Eq. (12), since, unlike previous cases, the correlation function is cumbersome. To find the $1/f$ noise we need to know, from the ensemble-averaged correlation function, γ, ν and B_{EA} , while A_{EA} must be finite (similar steps for other models will be published elsewhere [37]). As detailed in the Supplemental Material [27], $\gamma = \nu = 2 - \alpha$ and $B_{EA} = \sqrt{\pi}(4D)^{2-\alpha}c_1/[Z\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1+\alpha)]$ and $A_{EA} = B_{EA}\Gamma(1+\alpha)/[\sqrt{\pi}(2-\alpha)c_1]$ with $D = k_B T/m\bar{v}$, the diffusion constant according to the Einstein relation; hence, by using Eq. (12) we obtain

$$\langle S(\omega) \rangle \sim 2\Gamma(3-\alpha) \sin\left(\frac{\alpha\pi}{2}\right) B_{EA} \frac{1}{\omega^{3-\alpha}}. \quad (21)$$

The constant c_1 is given in terms of an integral of a special function [27]. We have simulated the Langevin equation (20) and obtained finite time estimates for the power spectrum, which match the prediction, Eq. (21), as shown in Fig. 3 without fitting. Importantly, the model of diffusion in the logarithmic field is applicable in many systems, including the diffusion of cold atoms in optical lattices [38].

Summary and discussion.—We have presented general relations between the sample spectrum and the time- or ensemble-averaged correlation function equations, (3) and (9), respectively. Those relations work for physical models in the limits $t_m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\omega \rightarrow 0$ while the product ωt_m is finite. In experiment t_m might be long, but it is always finite. Hence, the theorem will work in practice in the low frequency regime. Indeed, a close look at Figs. 2 and 3 shows finite time deviation at large frequencies; the aging spectrum is approached when t_m is increased. The fact that the scaled correlation function is observed in a great variety of different systems serves as evidence of the universality of our main results, i.e., Eqs. (3) and (9).

This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

Note added in proof.—After this work was completed a related study was published [39].

-
- [1] R. Kubo, M. Toda, and H. Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics II: Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, (Springer 1995).
 - [2] J.P. Bouchaud, L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and M. Mézard, in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, edited by A.P. Young (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
 - [3] G. Margolin and E. Barkai, Nonergodicity of a time series obeying Lévy statistics, *J. Stat. Phys.* **122**, 137 (2006).
 - [4] I. Eliazar and J. Klafter, Universal Generation of Statistical Self-Similarity: A Randomized Central Limit Theorem, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 040602 (2009).

- [5] G. Aquino, M. Bologna, P. Grigolini, and B.J. West, Beyond the Death of Linear Response: $1/f$ Optimal Information Transport, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 040601 (2010).
- [6] M. Niemann, H. Kantz, and E. Barkai, Fluctuations of $1/f$ Noise and the Low-Frequency Cutoff Paradox, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 140603 (2013).
- [7] M.A. Rodriguez, Complete spectral scaling of time series: Towards a classification of $1/f$ noise, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 042122 (2014).
- [8] C. Monthus and J.-P. Bouchaud, Models of traps and glass phenomenology, *J. Phys. A* **29**, 3847 (1996).
- [9] L. Silvestri, L. Fronzoni, P. Grigolini, and P. Allegrini, Event-Driven Power-Law Relaxation in Weak Turbulence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 014502 (2009).
- [10] J. Schrieffer, M. Clusel, D. Carpentier, and P. Degiovanni, Dephasing by a nonstationary classical intermittent noise, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 035328 (2005).
- [11] E. Bertin and J.P. Bouchaud, Dynamical ultrametricity, *J. Phys. A* **35**, 3039 (2002).
- [12] A. Barrat, R. Burioni, and M. Mezard, Ageing classification in glassy dynamics, *J. Phys. A* **29**, 1311 (1996).
- [13] J.P. Bouchaud and D.S. Dean, Aging on Parisi's tree, *J. Phys. I (France)* **5**, 265 (1995).
- [14] G. Margolin and E. Barkai, Aging correlation functions for blinking nanocrystals, and other on-off stochastic processes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 1566 (2004).
- [15] A. Dechant, E. Lutz, D.A. Kessler, and E. Barkai, Scaling Green-Kubo Relation and Application to Three Aging Systems, *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011022 (2014).
- [16] L. Lizana, M.A. Lomholt, and T. Ambjörnsson, Single-file diffusion with non-thermal initial conditions, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **395A**, 148 (2014).
- [17] N. Leibovich and E. Barkai, Everlasting effect of initial conditions on single-file diffusion, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 032107 (2013).
- [18] A. Taloni, A. Chechkin, and J. Klafter, Generalized Elastic Model Yields a Fractional Langevin Description, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 160602 (2010).
- [19] F. Ionita and H. Meyer-Ortmanns, Physical Aging of Classical Oscillators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 094101 (2014).
- [20] A. Bordova, A.V. Chechkin, A.G. Cherstvy, and R. Metzler, Nonergodic dynamics of force free granular gases, [arXiv:1501.04173](https://arxiv.org/abs/1501.04173).
- [21] E. Barkai, Aging in Subdiffusion Generated by a Deterministic Dynamical System, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 104101 (2003).
- [22] S. Sadegh, E. Barkai, and D. Krapf, $1/f$ noise for intermittent quantum dots exhibits non-stationarity and critical exponents, *New J. Phys.* **16**, 113054 (2014).
- [23] R. Kubo, The fluctuation-dissipation theorem, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **29**, 255 (1966).
- [24] L. Bellon and S. Ciliberto, Experimental study of the fluctuation-dissipation-relation during an aging process, *Physica (Amsterdam)* **168D-169D**, 325 (2002).
- [25] L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and G. Parisi, Off equilibrium dynamics and aging in unfrustrated systems, [arXiv:cond-mat/9406053](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9406053); L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, and L. Peliti, Energy flow, partial equilibration, and effective temperatures in systems with slow dynamics, *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 3898 (1997).

- [26] A. Crisanti and F. Ritort, Violation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in glassy systems: Basic notions and the numerical evidence, *J. Phys. A* **36**, R181 (2003).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.080602> for the derivation of Eqs. (6) and (9), and for the details on Brownian motion in a logarithmic process.
- [28] P. Frantsuzov, M. Kuno, B. Janko, and R. A. Marcus, Universal emission intermittency in quantum dots, nanorods and nanowires, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 519 (2008).
- [29] F. D. Stefani, J. P. Hoogenboom, and E. Barkai, Beyond quantum jumps: Blinking nanoscale light emitters, *Phys. Today* **62**, 34 (2009).
- [30] D. Krapf, Nonergodicity in nanoscale electrodes, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 459 (2013).
- [31] A. Godec and R. Metzler, Linear response, fluctuation-dissipation, and finite system size effects in super diffusion, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 012116 (2013).
- [32] T. E. Harris, Diffusion with “collisions” between particles, *J. Appl. Probab.* **2**, 323 (1965).
- [33] P. L. Krapivsky, K. Mallick, and T. Sadhu, Large Deviations in Single-File Diffusion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 078101 (2014).
- [34] C. Hegde, S. Sabhapandit, and A. Dhar, Universal Large Deviations for the Tagged Particle in Single-File Motion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 120601 (2014).
- [35] P. E. Rouse, A theory of the linear viscoelastic properties of dilute solutions of coiling polymers, *J. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 1272 (1953).
- [36] A. Dechant, E. Lutz, D. A. Kessler, and E. Barkai, Superaging correlation function and ergodicity breaking for Brownian motion in logarithmic potentials, *Phys. Rev. E* **85**, 051124 (2012).
- [37] N. Leibovich and E. Barkai (to be published).
- [38] S. Marksteiner, K. Ellinger, and P. Zoller, Anomalous diffusion and Lévy walks in optical lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 3409 (1996).
- [39] A. Dechant and E. Lutz, following Letter, Wiener-Khinchin Theorem for Nonstationary Scale-Invariant Processes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 080603 (2015).